Middleware and Distributed Systems

Inter-Process Communication With XML

Martin v. Löwis

XML Protocols

- Use XML as presentation layer (similar to XDR or BER)
- Client and server exchange request and response by XML documents
- Typically on-top-of lower layer transport protocol (e.g. session handling)
- Rationales
 - Easy to implement, can rely on existing tools
 - No marshaling for already present XML payload data
- Drawbacks
 - Young technology
 - Lack of language mappings

XML

- Markup language, subset of SGML (like HTML)
- HTML defines presentation, XML describes structured, hierarchical data
- XML schema: Definition of meaning of the tags within a XML document
- Scoping of elements through namespaces
- XML 1.0
 - Second edition of W3C Recommendation
 - Started in 1996, first published in 1998

XML-RPC

- Invented by Dave Winer (<u>www.xml-rpc.com</u>)
- Fixed XML vocabulary for RPC, with fixed set of data types
 - Four-byte integer (<int> / <i4>), <boolean>, <double>, <string>, <base64>
 - <dateTime.iso8601>, e.g. 19980717T14:08:55
 - <struct>: seq of member elements, each with name and value element
 - <array>: single data element, with a sequence of value elements
 - No need for homogenous data types in an array
- Based on HTTP
- Multiple implementations

XML-RPC Example Request

```
POST /RPC2 HTTP/1.0
User-Agent: Frontier/5.1.2 (WinNT)
Host: betty.userland.com
Content-Type: text/xml
Content-length: 181
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<methodCall>
  <methodName>examples.getStateName</methodName>
  <params>
    <param><value><i4>41</i4></value></param>
  </params>
</methodCall>
```

XML-RPC Example Response

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Connection: close
Content-Length: 158
Content-Type: text/xml
Date: Fri, 17 Jul 1998 19:55:08 GMT
Server: UserLand Frontier/5.1.2-WinNT
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<methodResponse>
  <params>
    <param> <value><string>South Dakota</string></value>
</param>
  </params>
</methodResponse>
```

XML-RPC Fault Response

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Connection: close
Content-Length: 426
Content-Type: text/xml
Date: Fri, 17 Jul 1998 19:55:02 GMT
Server: UserLand Frontier/5.1.2-WinNT
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<methodResponse>
<fault>
  <value>
    <struct>
       <member><name>faultCode</name>
         <value><int>4</int></value>
      </member>
      <member><name>faultString</name>
        <value><string>Too many parameters.</string></value>
     </member>
   </struct>
  </value>
</fault>
</methodResponse>
```

Web Services

• Gartner Group:

"Web services are software technologies, making it possible to build bridges between IT systems that otherwise would require extensive development efforts."

• World Wide Web Consortium (2003):

"A web service is a software system designed to support interoperable machine-to-machine interaction over a network. It has an interface described in a machine-processable format (specifically WSDL). Other systems interact with the Web service in a manner prescribed by its description using SOAP messages, typically conveyed using HTTP with an XML serialization in conjunction with other Web-related standards."

• Oracle:

"A Web service is a software system identified by a URI, whose public interfaces and bindings are defined and described using XML."

Matthew McDonald:

"Web Services are a modified version of COM with a little difference."

Architecture


- Service interface for applications in the web
 - "Human-readable CORBA through port 80"
- Roles: service provider, service registry, service consumer
- Operations: publishing, finding, binding, usage of services
- Web services are layered on-top-of existing protocols (HTTP, TCP/IP)
 - Relies on proven web concepts
 - URI: Uniform Resource Identifier (either URL or URN)
 - XML: Extensible Markup Language

Web Service Components

- SOAP: XML-based message format for communication
- WSDL: XML-based description language for web services (endpoints, operations, messages)
- UDDI: Directory for web services
- Roles and activities
 - WSDL document is published to UDDI by the web service provider
 - Service consumer looks for services in UDDI
 - Obtains URI to the WSDL document as result
 - Consumer calls the service through the SOAP protocol

Web Services Definition Language

- Description of Web Services everything needed for consumption (structure, connectivity, message flow)
- Interface definition: endpoints, operations, messages
- XML vocabulary for describing services
- Definition of services as a collection of ports (network endpoints)
 - Definition of messages: data that are exchanged
 - Definition of port types: abstract collections of operations
- Definition of bindings: specification of protocol and data format



WSDL Example

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<definitions name="StockQuote"</pre>
  targetNamespace="http://example.com/stockquote.wsdl"
       xmlns:tns="http://example.com/stockquote.wsdl"
        xmlns:xsd1="http://example.com/stockquote.xsd"
       xmlns:soap="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/wsdl/soap/"
       xmlns="http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/ws
                                                    Definition of data
<types>...</types>
                                                   types used by the
<message>...</message>
                                                     Web Service
<portType>...
<br/><binding>...</binding>
                                                      Messages used by
<service>.</service>
                                                       the Web Service
</defini/lons>
   Endpoints which offer
                           Communication
                                              Operations performed
     the Web Service
                         protocols used by the
                                               by the Web Service
                            Web Service
```

WSDL Types

- Based on W3C XML Schema definitions
- Declared types are referenced in the message specification part

WSDL Messages

- Message element describes the data elements of an operation
- Each message can consist of one ore more parts
 - Each part specified either as an element or a type
 - Actual (XML) representation depends on the binding
 - Parts refer to function call parameters in RPC scenario

```
<message name="GetLastTradePriceInput">
    <part name="body" element="xsd1:TradePriceRequest"/>
</message>

<message name="GetLastTradePriceOutput">
    <part name="body" element="xsd1:TradePrice"/>
</message>
```

WSDL Port Types

- Describes the list of abstract operations for a Web Service
- Each operation has optional input, output, fault messages
- 4 possible transmission primitives / operation types:
 - One-way: Endpoint receives a message
 - Request-response: Endpoint receives a message, and sends a message
 - Solicit-response: Endpoint sends a message, receives a correlated message
 - Notification: The endpoint sends a message

WSDL Bindings

- Message format and protocol details for each port
 - Binding type attribute relates to portType definition
- In case of SOAP binding, attributes for SOAP encoding style and transport protocol (defined in WSDL SOAP binding)
 - Mapping of WSDL operations, specification of SOAP encoding for each one


WSDL Services

- List of ports
- Defines physical location for a communication end-point
- Name, message format and protocol details for each port
- Again specific elements from WSDL SOAP binding

SOAP


- XML-based messaging protocol, specified by W3C
- "lightweight protocol for exchange of information in a decentralized, distributed environment"
- Current version 1.2 (W3C), version 1.1 widely used
- Processing model (roles, relays)
- Fault information
- Three parts in the SOAP specification:
 - Envelope structure for defining messages
 - Rules for encoding application-specific data types
 - Convention for doing RPC

SOAP Protocol Stack



SOAP Usage Scenarios

- Fire-and-forget to single/multiple receiver (notifications)
- RPC, Request/response asynchronous communication
- (Multiple) Third party intermediary
- Request with acknowledgment
- Request with encrypted payload
- Multiple asynchronous responses
- Caching
- Routing



SOAP Non-Goals


- Distributed Garbage Collection
 - Resource-related WS standards
- Batching of messages
- Objects-by-reference
 - But WS-Addressing introduces EPR's
- Activation
 - SOAP is a protocol specification

SOAP Companions

- WSDL: Web Services Definition Language
 - Interface definition: endpoints, operations, and messages
 - XML vocabulary for describing services (SOAP, HTTP, MIME)
- UDDI: Universal Description Discovery and Integration
 - Repository service for discovery of services
 - XML schemas for various information models

SOAP Messages

- Emitted by sender, targeted at ultimate receiver, through intermediaries (loosely coupled, point-to-point)
- Header blocks can be specific to above actors
- Might be required to understand header blocks
- Body blocks are always for the ultimate receiver
- Intermediary processes and removes appropriate header blocks, may add new header blocks



SOAP Envelope & Header

```
<?xml version='1.0' ?>
<env:Envelope xmlns:env="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope">
<env:Header>
  <m:reservation xmlns:m="http://travelcompany.example.org/reservation"</pre>
          env:role="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope/role/next"
           env:mustUnderstand="true">
    <m:reference>uuid:093a2da1-q345-739r-ba5d-pqff98fe8j7d</m:reference>
    <m:dateAndTime>2001-11-29T13:20:00.000-05:00</m:dateAndTime>
  </m:reservation>
  <n:passenger xmlns:n="http://mycompany.example.com/employees"</pre>
          env:role="http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope/role/next"
           env:mustUnderstand="true">
    <n:name>Åke Jógvan Øyvind</n:name>
  </n:passenger>
 </env:Header>
 <env:Body> ... </env:Body>
</env:Envelope>
```

SOAP Header Roles

- Predefined roles
 - next: Each SOAP intermediary and the ultimate SOAP receiver MUST act in this role
 - none: SOAP nodes MUST NOT act in this role
 - never formally processed
 - may carry data that is required for processing of other header blocks
 - ultimateReceiver: The ultimate receiver MUST act in this role
- env:mustUnderstand is "true" or "false"
- Intermediary may drop, modify, or add headers
 - Headers targeted at intermediary are removed, unless env:relay is true (and unless processing of the header reinserts it)

SOAP Body

- Mandatory part of the SOAP message, predefined tag name
 - Payload content must be well-formed XML, without XML prolog
 - Information is interpreted by the application
 - Special encoding of binary data (SOAP with attachments, MTOM)
- Body processing
 - Targeted at ultimate receiver
 - Structure completely application-defined, except for faults
 - Structure of body specified in env:encodingStyle attribute
 - No default value

SOAP Body

```
<env:Body>
  <p:itinerary
      xmlns:p="http://travelcompany.example.org/reservation/travel">
   <p:departure>
     <p:departing>New York</p:departing>
     <p:arriving>Los Angeles</p:arriving>
     <p:departureDate>2001-12-14</p:departureDate>
     <p:departureTime>late afternoon</p:departureTime>
     <p:seatPreference>aisle/p:seatPreference>
   </p:departure>
   <p:return>
     <p:departing>Los Angeles</p:departing>
     <p:arriving>New York</p:arriving>
     <p:departureDate>2001-12-20</p:departureDate>
     <p:departureTime>mid-morning</p:departureTime>
     <p:seatPreference/>
   </p:return>
 </p:itinerary>
</env:Body>
```

SOAP Faults

- SOAP fault block (env:Fault) as only child element of the SOAP body in the response message
- env:Code element with env:Value child
 - Possible values are env:{VersionMismatch, MustUnderstand, DataEncodingUnknown, Sender, Receiver}, possible additional env:Subcode child
- env:Reason, with optional env:Text children
- Optional env:Node, with URI content
- Optional env:Role, with URI content
- Optional env:Detail, with arbitrary attributes and child elements

RPC with SOAP

- Special use case, since transfered XML document now represents RPC
- SOAP Version 1.2, Part 2: Adjuncts
- Demands transport of request parameters and response values / fault information
- Different XML serialization approaches for graphs of untyped data structures
 - SOAP encoding, based on Section 5 from the SOAP spec
 - Literal encoding, where the structure is defined by XML Schema in the WSDL
- Different message styles
 - RPC style body must conform to structure that indicates RPC data
 - Mapping of incoming data to RPC paradigm by SOAP stack
 - Document style opaque payload, mapping to RPC by application

SOAP Encoding Example

```
<xsd:complexType name="Point">
  <xsd:sequence>
    <xsd:element name="x" type="xsd:int" />
    <xsd:element name="y" type="xsd:int" />
  </xsd:sequence>
</xsd:complexType>
<wsdl:message name="DistInput">
<wsdl:part name="p1" type="tns:Point" />
<wsdl:part name="p2" type="tns:Point" />
</wsdl:message>
<wsdl:message name="DistOutput">
<wsdl:part name="result" type="xsd:float" />
</wsdl:message>
<wsdl:portType name="Geometry">
<wsdl:operation name="Dist">
  <wsdl:input message="tns:DistInput" />
  <wsdl:output message="tns:DistOutput" />
</wsdl:operation>
</wsdl:portType>
```

SOAP Encoding: Resulting Example Request

```
<ns:Dist xmlns:ns="...">
                                                  <ns:Dist xmlns:ns="...">
        <p1>
                                                    <p1 href="#id1" />
          < x > 10 < /x >
                                                    <p2 href="#id1" />
          <y>20</y>
                                                  </ns:Dist>
        </p1>
                                                  <ns:Point id="id1"</pre>
        <p2>
                                                   xmlns:ns="_...">
          < x > 100 < / x >
                                                    < x > 10 < / x >
          <y>200</y>
                                                    <y>20</y>
        </p2>
                                                  </ns:Point>
      </ns:Dist>
Point one = new Point(10, 20);
                                             Point one = new Point(10, 20);
Point two = new Point(100, 200);
                                             float f = proxy.Distance(one, one);
float f = proxy.Distance(one, two);
```


Document Style SOAP

- Document/literal is based on XML schema definition
 - More powerful description, also for complex parameter types
- Demands usage of XML parsing technology in applications
- RPC encodings lacks mapping from XML schema type to object graph (e.g. xsd:sequence -> graph)
- RPC- vs. Document-style was the primary source of WS implementation interoperability problems in the past
 - RPC/encoded (JAX-RPC) vs. Document/literal (.NET)
 - Document/wrapped as special literal style, to express the operation name
- WSDL defines encoding style for communication with the specified Web Service


Encodings Today

- RPC/literal allows formulation of data structures with Schema
 - Still demands ,RPC' style organization of body
 - Schema does not tell the message body infoset, no validation possible
- RPC/literal is a subset of Document/literal
 - WS-I allows both
- Tools meanwhile agreed on document / wrapped
 - Convention to have operation name as child to <soap:body>

SOAP (In)Efficiency




Web Service Middleware Communication



WS-* Standards


- Everything on-top-of SOAP, WSDL, and UDDI
- Huge number of documents, topics, and versions
- Different organizations with different influence and power
- Concurrent specifications, competing implementations




innoq.com

Microsoft with / against IBM against the rest

Web Service Specification Landscape



Asynchronous Messaging




- WS-Notification 1.2 (IBM)
 - WS-BaseNotification
 - WS-BrokeredNotification
- WS-Eventing (IBM, MS)

C	IBM, Tibco, HP		
S	OASIS Standard		
1	ETTK, Axis,		

C	IBM, Tibco, MS
S	W3C Member Sub.
	ETTK, Axis,

Push Notification With Web Services



IPC with XML | Middleware and Distributed Systems

MvL 2008

WS-Notification

- Web Service Base Notification 1.3
 - Interfaces for consumers and producers
- Web Services Topics 1.3 (WS-Topics)
 - Mechanisms to organize and categorize items of interest for subscription
- Web Services Brokered Notification 1.3
 - Interface for Notification Broker

WS-BaseNotification

- Different roles with their endpoints producer, consumer, subscription manager, subscriber
- Two ways of notification (no response expected)
 - Producer sends raw application-specific content
 - Producer send special Notify message
 - Subscription reference
 - Topic and topic dialect
 - Producer reference

Notify Message Infoset

```
<wsnt:Notify>
  <wsnt:NotificationMessage>
    <wsnt:SubscriptionReference>
      wsa:EndpointReferenceType
    </wsnt:SubscriptionReference> ?
    <wsnt:Topic Dialect="xsd:anyURI">
      {any} ?
    </wsnt:Topic>?
    <wsnt:ProducerReference>
      wsa:EndpointReferenceType
    </wsnt:ProducerReference> ?
    <wsnt:Message>
      {any}
    </wsnt:Message>
  </wsnt:NotificationMessage> +
  \{any\} *
</wsnt:Notify>
```

SOAP Notify Example

```
<s:Envelope><s:Header>
   <wsa:Action>
     http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsn/bw-2/NotificationConsumer/Notify
   </wsa:Action>
</s:Header><s:Body>
    <wsnt:Notify><wsnt:NotificationMessage>
      <wsnt:SubscriptionReference>
        <wsa:Address>http://www.example.org/SubManager</wsa:Address>
      </wsnt:SubscriptionReference>
      <wsnt:Topic Dialect=</pre>
        "http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsn/t-1/TopicExpression/Simple">
       npex:SomeTopic
      </wsnt:Topic>
      <wsnt:ProducerReference>
        <wsa:Address>http://www.example.org/NotiProd</wsa:Address>
      </wsnt:ProducerReference>
      <wsnt:Message>
        <npex:NotifyContent>exampleNotifyContent
      </wsnt:Message>
   <wsnt:NotificationMessage></wsnt:Notify>
</s:Body></s:Envelope>
```

WS-Notification Subscription

- Several options for subscription
 - Notification consumer EPR
 - Boolean filter expressions
 - Order and timing for tests defined by producer
 - Topic, or XPath expression to check message content
 - Initial termination time
 - Subscription policy (e.g. # of messages)
 - Indicator for raw subscription
- Subscription response with EPR and termination time

MvL 2008

Subscription Example

```
<s:Envelope><s:Header>
  <wsa:Action>
    http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsn/bw-2/NotificationProducer/SubscribeRequest
  </wsa:Action>
</s:Header><s:Body>
  <wsnt:Subscribe>
    <wsnt:ConsumerReference>
      <wsa:Address>http://www.example.org/NotificationConsumer</wsa:Address>
    </wsnt:ConsumerReference>
    <wsnt:Filter>
      <wsnt:TopicExpression</pre>
          Dialect="http://docs.oasis-open.org/wsn/t-1/TopicExpression/Simple">
        npex:SomeTopic
      </wsnt:TopicExpression>
      <wsnt:MessageContent</pre>
          Dialect="http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xpath-19991116">
        boolean (ncex: Producer="15")
      </wsnt:MessageContent>
    </wsnt:Filter>
    <wsnt:InitialTerminationTime>
      2005-12-25T00:00:00.00000Z
    </wsnt:InitialTerminationTime>
  </wsnt:Subscribe>
</s:Body></s:Envelope>
```

WS-Notification vs. WS-Eventing

- WS-Notification features
 - Support for small devices (restricted set of mandatory features)
 - Support for direct and brokered notification
 - Transformation and aggregation of Topics
 - Runtime metadata (e.g. available subscription types)
 - Broker federations
 - Based on WS-ResourceProperties and WS-ResourceLifetime (from WSRF)

Implementations

- SOAP, WSDL, UDDI Endless number of implementations
- WS-* specifications
 - Apache projects (Axis, WSFX, Muse, ...)
 - IBM Emerging Technologies Toolkit (ETTK)
 - Microsoft Web Services Enhancements toolkit (WSE) / Windows Communication Foundation (WCF)
 - Sun Java Java Web Services Developer Pack (WSDP)
 - Verisign Trust Service Integration Kit (TSIK)

W3C Standardization Body

- Founded 1994 by Tim Berners-Lee
- Internet standards (HTTP, HTML, XML)
- All ratified standards must be royalty-free
- Standardization track:
 - Working group note and working draft
 - Candidate recommendation
 - Proposed / W3C recommendation
- Member submission



WS-I Standardization Body

- Web Services Interoperability Organization
- Founded in 2002 by Microsoft, IBM and others
- Clarifies ambiguities and restricts WS specifications
- Profiles for basic specs (SOAP, WSDL, UDDI) and Security
- Conformance test tool chain (Java, C#)



WS-I Details

- WS-I profiles
 - Basic Profile Working Group
 - Basic Security Profile Working Group
 - Requirements gathering, sample scenarios, testing tools, XML schema issues
- Clarifications: Missing details, interop problems, attachments, SOAP binding, security token, ...
- Sun: "Shadow government for standards"

Enterprise Service Bus

- Term coined by Dave Chappell in his book (2004)
 - Part of SOA concepts for service orchestration
- Primary task as message broker, which transforms and maps data
 - Implementations based on XML-powered MOM
 - Application developer formulates XSLT, based on XPath
- Support for debugging and testing of transformation steps

	XML parser	XSLT engine	Pluggable
BEA Systems AquaLogic Service Bus 2.1	Proprietary	Proprietary	Y
Cape Clear Software ESB 6.5	Proprietary	Proprietary	N
Fiorano Software SOA 2006 Platform 3.7	Xerces	Xalan	Υ
IBM WebSphere Message Broker 6.0	XML4C	Xalan	N
Oracle SOA Suite	Xerces	Xalan	Υ
Software AG Enterprise Service Integrator 2.1	Xerces	Xalan	N
Sonic Software SOA Suite 6.1	Xerces	Saxon	N
TIBCO Software BusinessWorks 5.3	Proprietary	Proprietary	N

Discussion

- XML for RPC / Messaging Middleware
 - Pros ?
 - Cons?
- CORBA and XML; conflict or cooperation?
 - http://www.omg.org/news/whitepapers/watsonwp.htm