# Camera Tracking on Moving Objects using RPi + Arduino

Ella, Lukas, Philipp, and Daniel

### Motivation

Inspiration: <u>A stepper motor driven, 3D printed</u> and Arduino controlled pan/tilt mount.

- Daniel Richter provides most parts
- Pan-Tilt-Mount is controlled via Xbox controller



Drive mount using wireless joystick input

### **Project Goal**

Spice things up slightly by:

- Using Raspberry Pi (OpenCV support) as controller
- Implementing a simple tracking algorithm
- Limit to two axis
- → Improve appropriately (e.g. more axes, advanced tracking algorithms vs. faster tracking)



# Implementation

Three subtasks:

- 1. Object Tracking (Raspberry Pi)
- 2. Motor Control (Arduino)
- 3. Build Camera Bot

## **Object Tracking**

User Journey

- Start Raspberry [RPi opens a websocket server]
- 2. Start Companion [Companion connects to server to get a live-feed]
- 3. User selects ROI to track
- 4. Camera "follows"





## **Object Tracking**

User Journey

- Start Raspberry [RPi opens a websocket server]
- 2. Start Companion [Companion connects to server to get a live-feed]
- 3. User selects ROI to track
- 4. Camera "follows"

companion



# **Object Tracking**

Objective: Keep ROI in the center of the frame

- Track ROI 1.
- 2. Calculate offset from center
- 3. Normalize according to frame size
- Discretize into 10 velocity 4. buckets per axis
- 5. send correction vector to Arduino







OpenCV **CSRT-Tracker** 

Serial

WebSocket on

separate thread

communication on separate thread







x-axis

# Since Mid-Presentation

### C++ Rewrite

Motivation:

• Only 1-2 FPS on RPi using Python and OpenCV

Attempt:

• Rewrite RPi application in C++

### C++ Rewrite

### Motivation:

• Only 1-2 FPS on RPi using Python and OpenCV

Attempt:

• Rewrite RPi application in C++



Journey:

- hard to interop
   OpenCV for C++ with OpenCV for Python
   companion
- different multithreading paradigms concurrent programming in C++ is harder than it seems at first glance
- pointer arithmetic and seg faults

### C++ Rewrite

### Motivation:

• Only 1-2 FPS on RPi using Python and OpenCV

Attempt:

• Rewrite RPi application in C++



Journey:

- hard to interop
   OpenCV for C++ with OpenCV for Python companion
- different multithreading paradigms concurrent programming in C++ is harder than it seems at first glance
- pointer arithmetic and seg faults

Result:

• ~4 FPS

### Lessons

- 1. When debugging, check **all your assumptions**! They're probably wrong.
- 2. Put I/O intensive and compute intensive tasks into **separate threads**.
- 3. If your devices need WiFi for communicating with each other, set up your own **lab WiFi** instead of using smartphone hotspots. It will save you hours of debugging and makes port forwarding much easier.

### Websocket

•••

### C++ Rewrite

### Main Loop

#### •••

```
while(STATE != TrackingState::TERMINATE) {
   capture >> frame;
   if(frame.empty()) break;
   cv::Point2d f_center(frame.cols/2.0, frame.rows/2.0);
   int64 timer = cv::getTickCount();
```

```
if(STATE == TrackingState::TRACK) {
    if(T0_TRACK) {
        auto [reference_frame, bbox] = *T0_TRACK;
        tracker->init(reference_frame, bbox);
        T0_TRACK = std::nullopt;
```

#### }

```
if(tracker->update(frame, bbox)) {
    cv::Point vector = calculateOffsetVector(bbox, f_center);
    displayTrackingSuccess(frame, bbox, f_center, vector);
    std::lock_guard<std::mutex> lock(VECTOR_MUTEX);
    OFFSET_VECTOR = vector;
    D0_SEND_VECTOR = true;
} else {
    D0_SEND_VECTOR = false;
    displayTrackingFailure(frame);
}
```

int fps = cv::getTickFrequency() / (cv::getTickCount() - timer); displayGeneralInfo(frame, fps); ACTIVE\_FRAME = frame.clone(); void websocket\_handler(server\* serv, websocketpp::connection\_hdl hdl, message\_ptr msg) {
 std::string message = msg->get\_payload();

```
if(STATE == TrackingState::RECEIVE_BBOX) {
   std::cerr << "Track region received\n";
   std::vector<int> bbox(4);
   message = message.substr(1, message.length()-2);
   for(int i = 0; i < 4; i++){
      size_t pos = message.find(',');
      bbox[i] = std::stoi(message.substr(0, pos));
      message.erase(0, pos+1);
   }
   cv::Rect roi(bbox[0], bbox[1], bbox[2], bbox[3]);
   T0_TRACK.emplace(LAST_SEND_FRAME.clone(), roi);
   STATE = TrackingState::TRACK;
   } else if(message = "c") {
      std::cerr << "Companion is connected\n";
      std::cerr << "Companion is connected\n";
      std::cerr << "Frame requested\n";
      LAST_SEND_FRAME = ACTIVE_FRAME.clone();
      std::cerr << "Frame requested\n";
      LAST_SEND_FRAME = ACTIVE_FRAME.clone();
      std::vector<uchar> buf;
      cv::imencode(".jpg", LAST_SEND_FRAME, buf);
      serv->send(hdl, buf.data(), buf.size(), opcode::BINARY);
   } else if(message == "t") {
      STATE = TrackingState::RECEIVE_BBOX;
   } else if(message == "a") {
      connectToArduino();
   }
}
```

#### •••

Serial

## Arduino-to-Raspberry communication

- Serial library in Python/C++ to connect Raspberry to Arduino
- Arduino has built-in support for Serial
- communication protocol:
  - current position of the object on the frame communicated as integer coordinate between (0,0) and (10, 10)
  - (5,5) is the middle of the frame
  - **advantage:** only need to transmit two digits, but accurate enough for our use case

### . void loop() { for (int i = 0; i < sizeMotors; i++) {</pre> char x = Serial.read();char y = Serial.read(); int xVelocity = (x - '0') - 5;int yVelocity = (y - '0') - 5;steppers[1].setMaxSpeed(10 \* abs(xVelocity) \* steps\_per\_mm); int sign0 = yVelocity > 0 ? 1 : -1; steppers[0].move(1 \* steps\_per\_mm \* sign0); int sign1 = xVelocity > 0 ? 1 : -1; steppers[1].move(10 \* steps\_per\_mm \* sign1);

## Building the CameraBot

- Initially used the 3D printer in Prof Baudisch's lab
  - → Ended up taking too much time, so we outsourced
- Had to make changes to original blueprint
  - Arduino Uno instead of Arduino Nano
  - → 40 mm NEMA 17 instead of 22 mm NEMA 17
  - → Different camera size
  - Different scope
    - no slider axis but feedback loop



This part of took more than 1h to print (in good quality)

### The long tale of fast custom printing

5.01.2023: Order of 3D parts (estimated delivery time 5-7 days)

18.01.2023: Asking again per mail what the status is

18.01.2023: ~"Will send tomorrow. We had difficulties printing one part"

24.01.2023: Automatic email confirming delivery

26.01.2023: Arrival of package



The package.

# 3D-printing: Assembly

Learnings:

- Parts are not 100% accurate
- Parts are not completely round
- Tight assembly increases friction
- Moving parts have to be thinned by file and smoothed by sand-paper or aceton
- 3D printed bearings have a lot of friction



## Putting it all together

- Motors didn't move setup including camera
  - Measured amperage using measurement unit within motors circuit in iot lab
  - Initially used 200 mA
- Changed RMS-Current in code up to 500 mA
- Interesting: Stepper motors use base ampere rate at rest

video: see <u>https://drive.google.com/file/d/17-qmVV\_L9QbTcYhCXW39X6Ke\_67</u> 63iK9/view?usp=sharing

## Hardware Projects - Learnings

- Hardware iteration cycles take long
- Surprise: Software iteration cycles take long
  - Development experience on Arduino and RaspberryPi is poor
- Solution: write for PC, test and debug on PC, then adapt for RPi
- We didn't emulate an arduino: Painful debugging experience
- Measure everything to be certain



## Hardware Projects - Learnings

- hobbyist and hardware stuff can be really badly documented
- the hardware ultimately sets the limit of a device's performance
- 3D printing takes a long time, but ordering 3D printed parts might take even longer



# Evaluation

### **Object Recognition: Performance of Algorithms**

- different algorithms for object tracking available
  - KCF: fast, but cannot recover from occlusion of the object
  - CSRT: slower, but handles occlusion well
- → tradeoff between accuracy and speed (even more on limited resources)
- → we ended up electing CSRT

Learning:

• CSRT is ok for occlusion but often can't recover if ROI was lost

### **Object Recognition: Performance**

- we switched from Python to C++ because of better performance → in our tests, performance is still around a third of a second in most cases
- C++ on RPi
- Get around 3-4 FPS

Learning:

- C++ is faster, we assume because it's compiled and doesn't use garbage collection
- The difference to Python is not huge: Bottleneck was hardware (no GPU for CV)



### Last time's milestones: How far did we get?

- 3D-print and assemble the camera slider for at least one axis
- Motors should keep the Region of Interest in the center of the frame
- Have a realistic user journey
- Evaluate performance of implementation and find potentials for improvement

### What future teams could add to the project



further improve usability



further improve performance software-wise



more reliable ROI tracking



use better hardware (like Nvidia Jetson)



use more fault-tolerant communication protocols



more fine-grained motor control



# Video