Dependable Systems

Hardware Dependability - Redundancy

Dr. Peter Tröger

Sources:

Siewiorek, Daniel P.; Swarz, Robert S.: Reliable Computer Systems. third. Wellesley, MA : A. K. Peters, Ltd., 1998. , 156881092X Roland Trauner, IBM Mainframe Summit, Hasso Plattner Institute, 2012 IBM zEnterprise System Technical Guide, IBM RedBooks Some images (C) Elena Dubrova, ESDLab, Kungl Tekniska Högskolan

Redundancy (Reiteration)

- Redundancy for error detection and forward error recovery
- Redundancy types: **spatial**, **temporal**, **informational** (presentation, version)
 - Redundant not mean identical functionality, just perform the same work
- Static redundancy implements error mitigation
 - Fault does not show up, since it is transparently removed
 - Examples: Voting, error-correcting codes, N-modular redundancy
- Dynamic redundancy implements error processing
 - After fault detection, the system is reconfigured to avoid a failure
 - Examples: Back-up sparing, duplex and share, pair and spare
- Hybrid approaches

System-Failure Response Strategies [Sieworek / Swarz]



Redundancy

- Redundancy is never for free !
 - Hardware: Additional components, area, power, shielding, ...
 - Software: Development costs, maintenance costs, ...
 - Information: Extra hardware for decoding and encoding
 - Time: Faster processing (CPU) necessary to achieve same performance
- Tradeoff: Costs vs. benefit of redundancy; additional design and testing effort
- Sphere of replication [Mukherjee]
 - Identifies logical domain protected by the fault detection scheme
 - Questions: For which **components** are faults detected ? Which **outputs** must be **compared** ? Which **inputs** must be **replicated** ?

Sphere of Replication



- Components outside the sphere must be protected by other means
- Level of output comparison decides upon fault coverage
- Larger sphere tends to decrease the required bandwidth on input and output
 - More state changing happens just inside the sphere
- Vendor might be restricted on choice of sphere size

Masking / Static Redundancy: Voting

- Exact voting: Only one correct result possible
 - Majority vote for uneven module numbers
 - Generalized median voting Select result that is the median, by iteratively removing extremes
 - Formalized plurality voting Divide results in partitions, choose random member from the largest partition
- Inexact voting: Comparison at high level might lead to multiple correct results
 - **Non-adaptive voting** Use allowable result discrepancy, put boundary on discrepancy minimum or maximum (e.g. 1,4 = 1,3)
 - Adaptive voting Rank results based on past experience with module results
 - Compute the correct value based on "trust" in modules from experience
 - Example: Weighted sum $R=W_1*R_1 + W_2*R_2 + W_3*R_3$ with $W_1+W_2+W_3=1$

Voter

Static Redundancy: N-Modular Redundancy

- Fault is transparently removed on detection
- Triple-modular redundancy (TMR)
 - 2/3 of the modules must deliver correct results
- Generalization with N-modular redundancy (NMR)
 - m+1/N of the modules must deliver correct result, with N=2m+1
- Standard case without any redundancy is called **simplex**

$$R_{TMR} = R_V \cdot R_{2-of-3}$$

= $R_V (R_M^3 + 3R_M^2 (1 - R_M))$

N-Modular Redundancy (with perfect voter)

$$R_{NMR} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{N}{i} (1-R)^{i} R^{N-i}$$
$$\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$$
$$R_{2-of-3} = \binom{3}{0} (1-R)^{0} R^{3} + \binom{3}{1} (1-R) R^{2}$$
$$R_{2-of-3} = R^{3} + 3(1-R) R^{2}$$
$$R_{3-of-5} = \dots$$



TMR Reliability

- TMR is appropriate if $R_{TMR} > R_M$ (for given t)
- TMR with perfect voter only improves system reliability when $R_M > 0.5$





Dependable Systems Course

Imperfect Voters

- Redundant voters
 - Module errors do not propagate
 - Voter errors propagate only by one stage
- Assumption of multi-step process, final voter still needed



Hardware Voting

- Smallest hardware solution is the 1-bit majority voter
 - f=ab + ac + bc
 - Delivers the bit that has the majority
 - Requires 2 gate delays and 4 gates
- Hardware voting can become expensive
 - 128 gates and 256 flip-flops for 32-bit voter
- Input must be synchronized
 - Central clock source may be single point of failure
 - Can be solved by special event latching



| Y = A ^ B | в | A |
|-----------|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

| A | в | Y = A V B |
|---|---|-----------|
| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |

Dynamic Redundancy

- Reconfiguration of the system in response to an error state
 - Prevents error propagation
 - Triggered by internal fault detection in the unit, or external error detection based on the outputs
- Dynamic redundancy combines error confinement with fault detection
 - Still questions of coverage and diagnosability
- On transient errors, good modules may be deactivated
 - Typically solved by combination of dynamic redundancy with retry approach
- Typical approaches: Duplex, sparing, degradation, compensation

Duplex Systems

- Reconfigurable duplication : Have relevant modules redundant, switch on failure
- Identification on mismatch ("test")
 - Self-diagnostics procedure
 - Self-checking logic
 - Watchdog timer, e.g. for having components resetting each other (e.g. split brain)
 - Outside arbiter for signatures or black box tests



- Test interval depends on application scenario each clock period / bus cycle / ...
- Also called dual-modular redundancy
- Reliability computation as with parallel / serial component diagram

Dependable Systems Course

13

Back-Up Sparing

- Combination of working module and a set of spare modules (,replacement parts')
- Hot spares: Receive input with main modules, have results immediately
- Warm spares: Are running, but receive input only after switching
- Cold spares: Need to be started before switching



HOT, WARM AND COLD SPARES

Pair and Spare

- Special cases for combination of duplex (with comparator) and sparing (with switch)
- Pair and spare Multiple duplex pairs, connected as standby sparing setup
 - Two replicated modules operate as duplex pair (lockstep execution), connected by comparator as voting circuit
 - Same setting again as spare unit, spare units connected by switch
 - On module output mismatch, comparators signal switch to perform failover
- MODULES COMPARATOR 2 INPUT 3 COMPARATOR OUTPUT SWITCH/COMPARATOR 4 COMPARATOR
- Commercially used, e.g. Stratus XA/R Series 300



Graceful Degradation

- Performance design of the system allows continued operation with spares
 - Many commercial systems supports this, but lack automated error processing
 - Example: Operating system support for CPU off-lining, but no MCA handling
- Designed-In Resources:
 - Replaceable or bypass-able components (f.e. caches, disks, processors)
 - Support for operation with degraded performance
- Added-On Resources:
 - Redundant units used for excess capacity during normal operation
 - Still non-degraded performance on failure
- Interconnect reconfiguration: Use alternative paths in the network

Hardware solutions in telco industry, today replaced by software solutions
Dependable Systems Course 16

Example: Spanning Tree Protocol

- Modern implementation of interconnect reconfiguration for dynamic redundancy
- Bridges for connecting different Ethernet sub-networks
- By default no coordination, better products use the spanning tree protocol
 - Explicit removal of redundant paths (loops), while still supporting all point-to-point communication
 - Each bridge has its own MAC address, protocol based on broadcast
 - Create a tree of bridges, starting from a chosen root bridge
 - All paths start from the root bridge
 - Ports participating in redundant paths have to be switched off
 - Cost model for paths to make a choice (root distance, speed)

Example: Spanning Tree Protocol

- Determine root bridge
 - Send your ID (MAC address) to a multicast group, smallest ID wins
- Each non-root bridge determines the ,cheapest' path to the root bridge
 - This port becomes the root port (RP)
- For multiple bridges in a segment, the ,cheapest' representative is elected *designated port (DP)*
- All ports that are not DP or RP are deactivated blocked port (BP)















(from Wikipedia)

Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol (RSTP)



- Alternate Port: Blocked port to a network that can be currently reached by another bridge in a better way
 - Reduces time for re-arrangement of the tree on errors
- *Backup Port:* Blocked port to a network that can be reached by another port in a cheaper way
 - May be used in parallel, or only as update
- Bridge Protocol Data Unit (BPDU) is sent every other second
 - "Hello-Time"

- N-modular redundancy with spares
 - Also called hybrid redundancy
 - System has basic NMR configuration
 - Disagreement detector replaces modules with spares if their output is not matching the voting result



- Reliability as long as the spare pool is not exhausted
- Improves fault masking capability of NMR
 - Can tolerate two faults with one spare, while classic NMR would need 5 modules with majority voting to tolerate two faults

TMR with Spares

- Basic reliability computation based on the assumption of similar module failure rates in spares and non-spares
 - At least any two of all S+3 modules must survive



Comparison TMR vs. TMR/S vs. NMR



Self-purging redundancy

- Active redundant modules, each can remove itself from the system if faulty
- Basic idea: Test for agreement with the voting result, otherwise 0



- Sift-out modular redundancy (N-2), no voter required
 - Pair-wise comparison of module outputs by comparator
 - N inputs and N-over-2 outputs
 - **Detector** uses these signals to identify the faulty module, includes also memory cells for failed modules



- Triple Duplex Architecture
 - TMR with duplex modules, used in the Shinkansen (Japanese train)
 - Fault masking with comparator, no more contribution to voting from faulty one
 - Allows tolerating another fault in the further operation, since comparator localizes again the faulty module
 - Adds again fault location capability to redundancy scheme M1a comp M₁b Supports also hot voter out plugging of deactivated M₂a comp components M₂b M3a comp M_{3b}

The Real World of Hardware Redundancy -Replacement Frequencies [Schroeder 2007]

760 node cluster, 2300 disks

| HPC1 | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Component | % | | | | | |
| Hard drive | 30.6 | | | | | |
| Memory | 28.5 | | | | | |
| Misc/Unk | 14.4 | | | | | |
| CPU | 12.4 | | | | | |
| PCI motherboard | 4.9 | | | | | |
| Controller | 2.9 | | | | | |
| QSW | 1.7 | | | | | |
| Power supply | 1.6 | | | | | |
| MLB | 1.0 | | | | | |
| SCSI BP | 0.3 | | | | | |

ISP, multiple sites, 26700 disks

| COM1 | | | | | | |
|----------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Component | % | | | | | |
| Power supply | 34.8 | | | | | |
| Memory | 20.1 | | | | | |
| Hard drive | 18.1 | | | | | |
| Case | 11.4 | | | | | |
| Fan | 8.0 | | | | | |
| CPU | 2.0 | | | | | |
| SCSI Board | 0.6 | | | | | |
| NIC Card | 1.2 | | | | | |
| LV Power Board | 0.6 | | | | | |
| CPU heatsink | 0.6 | | | | | |

ISP, multiple sites, 9200 machines, 39000 disks

| COM2 | | | | | | |
|-----------------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Component | % | | | | | |
| Hard drive | 49.1 | | | | | |
| Motherboard | 23.4 | | | | | |
| Power supply | 10.1 | | | | | |
| RAID card | 4.1 | | | | | |
| Memory | 3.4 | | | | | |
| SCSI cable | 2.2 | | | | | |
| Fan | 2.2 | | | | | |
| CPU | 2.2 | | | | | |
| CD-ROM | 0.6 | | | | | |
| Raid Controller | 0.6 | | | | | |

IBM System z



Impact of Outage

| | Prior Servers | z9 EC | Z10 EC | z196 |
|----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Unscheduled Outages | | | | |
| Scheduled Outages | \checkmark | -reased Fo-us ove | er tin | \checkmark |
| Planned Outages | | \checkmark | V | \checkmark |
| Preplanning requirements | | | \checkmark | |
| Power & Thermal Management | | | | Î |

Temperature = Silicon Reliability Worst Enemy Wearout = Mechanical Components Reliability Worst Enemy.

IBM System z

- Machine-Check-Handling mechanism in z/Series
 - Equipment malfunction detection
 - Permit automatic recovery
 - Error states are reported by machine-check interruption
- Data error detection through information redundancy
- Recovery from machine-detected error states
 - Error checking and correction use circuitry redundancy



- CPU retry checkpoint written at instruction-based synchronization points
- Channel-subsystem recovery restart of I/O components
- Unit deletion automated degradation of malfunctioning units

zEnterprise: Processor



- Instruction fetch and execution units are replicated
- Error check at the end of the pipeline
- R-unit keeps CPU registers and processor checkpoint
- E-units have shadow copy of registers for speed improvement
- All register / cache writes are compared, instruction retry in case
 - On fault, overwrite with R unit state
- Since z6, reverted to non-lockstepping and more fault sensors

IBM System z - Processor Books



PT 2012

IBM System z

z196 RAS Design ofFully Redundant I/O Subsystem – of existing IO cage and drawers



Fully Redundant I/O Design

- SAP / CP sparing
- SAP Reassignment
- I/O Reset & Failover
- I/O Mux Reset / Failover
- Redundant I/O Adapter
- Redundant I/O interconnect
- Redundant Network Adapters
- Redundant ISC links
- Redundant Crypto processors
- I/O Switched Fabric
- Network Switched/Router Fabric
- High Availability Plugging Rules
- I/O and coupling fanout rebalancing on CBA
- Channel Initiated Retry
- High Data Integrity Infrastructure
- I/O Alternate Path
- Network Alternate Path
- Virtualization Technology

- Redundancy of memory data for masking
- Replication / coding at different levels
- Examples
 - STAR (Self-testing and self-repairing computer, for early spacecrafts), 1971
 - COMTRAC (Computer-aided traffic control system for Shinkansen train system)
 - Stratus (Commercial fault-tolerant system) http://www.stratus.com/uptime/
 - 3B20 by AT & T (Commercial fault-tolerant system)
 - Most modern memory controllers in servers



- Standard technology in DRAMs
 - Bit-per-byte parity, check on read access
 - Implemented by additional parity memory chip
 - ECC with Hamming codes 7 check bits for 32 bit data words, 8 bit for 64 bit
 - Leads to 72 bit data bus between DIMM and chipset
 - Computed by memory controller on write, checked on read
 - Study by IBM: ECC memory achieves R=0.91 over three years
- Hewlett Packard Advanced ECC (1996)
 - Can detect and correct single bit and double bit errors

• IBM ChipKill

- Originally developed for NASA Pathfinder project, now in X-Series
- Corrects up to 4 bit errors, detects up to 8 bit errors
- Implemented in chipset and firmware, works with standard ECC modules
- Based on striping approach with parity checks (similar to RAID)
- 72 bit data word is split in 18 bit chunks, distributed on 4 DIMM modules
- 18 DRAM chips per module, one bit per chip

• HP Hot Plug RAID Memory

- Five memory banks, cache line is striped, fifth bank for parity information
- Corrects single bit, double bit, 4-bit, 8-bit errors; hot plugging support

• Dell PowerEdge Servers, 2005 (taken from <u>www.dell.com</u>)



| BIOS options | Sparing | Mirroring | RAID | Hot addition | Hot replacement |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|--------------------|
| Spare-bank memory | Support depends on memory card | Not supported | Not supported | Not supported | Not supported |
| Memory mirroring | Not supported | Supported if riser 1 and riser 2 have equal memory and/or riser 3 and riser 4 have equal memory (only memory mirroring is enabled) | Not supported | Not supported | Supported |
| Memory RAID | Not supported | Not supported | Supported if all four risers have equal memory (only memory RAID is enabled) | Not supported | Supported |
| Redundancy Disabled | Not supported | Not supported | Not supported | Hot addition in previously empty slots is supported | Not supported |

- Fujitsu System Board D2786 for RX200 S5 (2010)
- Independent Channel Mode: Standard operational module, always use first slot
- Mirrored Channel Mode: Identical modules on slot A/B (CPU1) and D/E (CPU2)



IBM System z - Memory RAID

- System z10 EC memory design
 - Four Memory Controllers (MCUs) organized in two pairs, each MCU with four redundant channels
 - 16 to 48 DIMMs per book, plugged in groups of 8
 - 8 DIMMs (4 or 8 GB) per feature, 32 or 64 GB physical memory per feature
 - 64 to 384 GB physical memory per book = 64 to 384 GB for use (HSA and customer)
- z196 memory design:
 - Three MCUs, each with five channels. The fifth channel in each z196 MCU is required to implement *Redundant Array of Independent Memory (RAIM)*
 - Detected and corrected: Bit, Iane, DRAM, DIMM, socket, and complete memory channel failures, including many types of multiple failures

IBM System z196 - Memory RAID



2- Deep Cascade Using Quad High DIMMs

Layers of Memory Recovery

ECC

Powerful 90B/64B Reed Solomon code

DRAM Failure

- Marking technology; no half sparing needed
- 2 DRAM can be marked
- Call for replacement on third DRAM

Lane Failure

- CRC with Retry
- Data lane sparing
- CLK RAIM with lane sparing

DIMM Failure (discrete components, VTT Reg.)

- CRC with Retry
- Data lane sparing
- CLK RAIM with lane sparing

DIMM Controller ASIC Failure

RAIM Recovery

Channel Failure

RAIM Recovery

IBM z10 EC Memory Structure



IBM System z196 - RAIM



Disk Redundancy

• Typical measure is the annual failure rate (AFR) - average number of failures / year

$$AFR = \frac{1}{MTBF_{years}} = \frac{8760}{MTBF_{hours}}$$

- Can be interpreted as failure probability during a year
- MTBF = Mean time **before** failure, here
- Disk MTTF: On average, one failure takes place in the given disk hours
- Example: Seagate Barracuda ST3500320AS: MTTF=750000h=85.6 years
 - With thousand disks, on average every 750h (a month) some disk fails
 - Measured by the manufacturer under heavy load and physical stress
 - AFR=0.012

• Redundant Array of Independent Disks (RAID) [Patterson et al. 1988]

- Improve I/O performance and / or reliability by building raid groups
- Replication for information reconstruction on disk failure (degrading)
- Requires computational effort (dedicated controller vs. software)
- Assumes failure independence



RAID Reliability Comparison

- Treat disk failing as Bernoulli experiment independent events, identical probability
- Probability for k events of probability p in n runs

$$B_{n,p}(k) = p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k}$$

• Probability for a failure of a RAID 1 mirror - all disks unavailable:

$$p_{allfail} = \binom{n}{n} p_{fail}{}^n (1 - p_{fail})^0 = p_{fail}{}^n$$

• Probability for a failure of a RAID 0 strip set - any faults disk leads to failure:

$$p_{anyfail} = 1 - p_{allwork}$$
$$= 1 - {\binom{n}{n}} (1 - p_{fail})^n p_{fail}^0$$
$$= 1 - (1 - p_{fail})^n$$

RAID MTTF Calculation [Patterson]

- Works for RAID levels were second outage during repair is fatal
- Core idea is that groups of data disks are protected by additional check disks
 - D Total number of data disks
 - G Number of data disks in a group (e.g. G=1 in RAID1)
 - C Number of redundant check disks (parity / mirror) in a group (e.g. C=1 in RAID1)
 - $n_G = D / G =$ number of groups, G+C : Number of disks in a group

$$MTTF_{Group} = \frac{MTTF_{Disk}}{G+C} \cdot \frac{1}{p_{SecondFailureDuringRepair}}$$

RAID MTTF Calculation [Patterson]

 Assuming exponential distribution, the probability for a second disk failure during the repair time can be determined by:

$$p_{SecondFailure} = \frac{MTTR}{\frac{MTTF_{Disk}}{G+C-1}}$$

• So:

$$MTTF_{Group} = \frac{MTTF_{Disk}}{G+C} \cdot \frac{1}{p_{SecondFailureDuringRepair}}$$
$$MTTF_{Raid} = \frac{MTTF_{Group}}{n_G}$$
$$= \frac{MTTF_{Disk}^2}{(G+C) * n_G * (G+C-1) * MTTR}$$

RAID 0

- Raid 0 Block-level striping
 - I/O performance improvement with many channels and drives
 - One controller per drive
 - Optimal stripe size depends on I/O request size, random vs. sequential I/O, concurrent vs. single-threaded I/O
 - Fine-grained striping: Good load balancing, catastrophic data loss
 - Coarse-grained striping: Good recovery for small files, worser performance
 - One option: Strip size = Single-threaded I/O size / number of disks
 - Parallel read supported, but positioning overhead for small concurrent accesses

47

• No fault tolerance $MTTF_{Raid0} = \frac{MTTF_{Disk}}{N}$

Dependable Systems Course

(C) Wikipedia

A2

A4

A6

A8

RAID 0

A1

A3

A5

A7

Disk 0 Disk 1

PT 2012

RAID 1

- Raid 1 Mirroring and duplexing
 - Duplicated I/O requests
 - Decreasing write performance, up to double read rate of single disk
 - RAID controller might allow concurrent read and write per mirrored pair
 - Highest overhead of all solutions, smallest disk determines resulting size
 - Reliability is given by probability that one disk fails and the second fails while the first is repaired
 - With D=1, G=1, C=1 and the generic formula, we get

$$MTTF_{Raid1} = \frac{MTTF_{Disk}}{2} \cdot \frac{MTTF_{Disk}}{MTTR_{Disk}}$$





A1 A2 A4 A5 A6 Ap (4-6) B1 B2 **B**3 Bp (1-3) B4 B5 B6 Bp (4-6) Disk 0 Disk 1 Disk 2 Disk 3

 $MTTTT_{-}$

- Raid 2 Byte-level striping with Hamming code -based check disk
 - No commercial implementation due to ECC storage overhead
 - Online verification and correction during read
- Raid 3 Byte-level striping with dedicated XOR parity disk
 - All data disks used equally, one XOR parity disk as bottleneck (C=1)
 - Bad for concurrent small accesses, good sequential performance (streaming)
 - Separate code is needed to identify a faulty disk
 - Disk failure has only small impact on throughput
 - RAID failure if more than one disk fails:

$$MTTF_{Raid3} = \frac{MTTF_{Disk}}{D+C} \cdot \frac{\frac{MTTF_{Disk}}{D+C-1}}{MTTR_{Disk}}$$

Raid 2/3

Parity With XOR

- Self-inverse operation
 - 101 XOR 011 = 110, 110 XOR 011 = 101

| Disk | Byte | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | |
| 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Parity | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |

| Disk | Byte | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | | |
| Parity | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Hot Spare | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | | | |

Dependable Systems Course

RAID 4 / 5

- Raid 4 Block-level striping with dedicated parity disk
 - RAID 3 vs. RAID 4: Allows concurrent block access
- Raid 5 Block-level striping with distributed parity
 - Balanced load as with Raid 0, better reliability
 - Bad performance for small block writing
 - Most complex controller design, difficult rebuild
 - When block in a stripe is changed, old block and parity must be read to compute new parity
 - For every changed data bit, flip parity bit

$$MTTF_{Raid5} = \frac{MTTF_{Disk}}{N} \cdot \frac{\frac{MTTF_{Disk}}{N-1}}{MTTR_{Disk}}$$



RAID 5



RAID 6 / 01 / 10

- Raid 6 Block-level striping with two parity schemes
 - Extension of RAID5, can sustain multiple drive failures at the same time
 - High controller overhead to compute parities, poor write performance
- Raid 01 Every mirror is a Raid 0 stripe (min. 4 disks)
- Raid 10 Every stripe is a Raid 1 mirror (min. 4 disks)
- RAID DP RAID 4 with second parity disk
 - Additional parity includes first parity + all but one of the data blocks (diagonal)
 - Can deal with two disk outages





RAID Analysis (Schmidt)

- Take the same number of disks in different constellations
 - $AFR_{Disk} = 0.029$, MTTR=8h
- RAID5 has bad reliability, but offers most effective capacity
- In comparison to RAID5, RAID10 can deal with two disk errors
- Also needs to consider different resynchronization times
 - RAID10: Only one disk needs to be copied to the spare
 - RAID5 / RAID-DP: All disks must be read to compute parity
- Use RAID01 only in 2+2 combination



Dependable Systems Course

RAID Analysis (TecChannel.de)

| | RAID 0 | RAID 1 | RAID 10 | RAID 3 | RAID 4 | RAID 5 | RAID 6 |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Number of drives | n > 1 | n = 2 | n > 3 | n > 2 | n > 2 | n > 2 | n > 3 |
| Capacity overhead (%) | 0 | 50 | 50 | 100 / n | 100 / n | 100 / n | 200 / n |
| Parallel reads | n | 2 | n / 2 | n - 1 | n - 1 | n -1 | n - 2 |
| Parallel writes | n | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | n / 2 | n / 3 |
| Maximum read throughput | n | 2 | n / 2 | n - 1 | n - 1 | n - 1 | n - 2 |
| Maximum write throughput | n | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | n / 2 | n / 3 |

Software RAID

- Software layer above block-based device driver(s)
- Windows Desktop / Server, Mac OS X, Linux, ...
- Multiple problems
 - Computational overhead for RAID levels beside 0 and 1
 - Boot process
 - Legacy partition formats
- Driver-based RAID
 - Standard disk controller with special firmware
 - Controller covers boot stage, device driver takes over in protected mode

Disk Redundancy: Google

- Failure Trends in a Large Disk Drive Population [Pinheiro2007]
 - > 100.000 disks, SATA / PATA consumer hard disk drives, 5400 to 7200 rpm
 - 9 months of data gathering in Google data centers
 - Statistical analysis of SMART data
- Failure event: "A drive is considered to have failed if it was replaced as part of a repairs procedure."
- Prediction models based on SMART only work in 56% of the cases



Disk Redundancy: Google

- Failure rates are correlated with drive model, manufacturer and drive age
- Indication for infant mortality
- Impact from utilization (25th percentile, 50-75th percentile, 75th percentile)
 - Reversing effect in third year "Survival of the fittest" theory



Disk Redundancy: Google

• Temperature effects only at high end of temperature range, with old drivers



Connection Redundancy - Fibre Channel

- Fibre Channel
 - Developed for HPC, meanwhile standard in SAN technology
 - Can run on copper and fiber-optic channels, primarily SCSI transport
 - Host bus adapter (HBA), switch, disk all connected by ports
- Multi-pathing with switched fabric (FC-SW)
 - Combination of switches as *fabric* supports failover and shortest route approach
 - Multi-pathing redundant HBAs connected to multiple switches
 - Also possible to connect redundant HBAs to different (linked) fabrics
- Bonding (client) / trunking (switch): Bundle multiple connections to one logical
 - Implementations support failover between the bonding lanes

Connection Redundancy - Fibre Channel



<u>www.high-availability.com</u>

IBM System z - Redundant I/O

- Each processor book has up to 8 dual port fanouts
 - Direct data transfer between memory and PCI/e (8 GBps) or Infiniband (6 GBps)
 - Optical and copper connectivity supported
 - Fanout cards are hot-pluggable, without loosing the I/O connectivity



• Air-moving devices (AMD) have N+1 redundancy for fanouts, memory and power

IBM System z - Redundant I/O



- PCI/e I/O drawer supports up to 32 I/O cards from fanouts in 4 domains
 - One PCI/e switch card per domain
 - Two cards provide backup path for each other (f.e. with cable failure)
 - 16 cards max. per switch



62

IBM System z - Redundant I/O

